## **International Journal of Pharmaceutical and Bio-Medical Science**

ISSN(print): 2767-827X, ISSN(online): 2767-830X Volume 03 Issue 01 January 2023 Page No: 01-06 DOI: <u>https://doi.org/10.47191/ijpbms/v3-i1-01</u>, Impact Factor: 5.542

# Management and Challenges of Slaughter Slab in Mubi Metropolis, Adamawa State, Nigeria

Elihu, A.<sup>1</sup>, Buba, Z.M.<sup>2</sup>, Bulus, H.<sup>3</sup>

<sup>1,2,3</sup> Department Of Zoology, Adamawa State University Mubi. Adamawa State, Nigeria

#### ABSTRACT

Study on the Management and Challenges of Slaughter Slab in Mubi Metropolis was carried out to determine the major challenges of slaughter slab in Mubi, Adamawa State, Nigeria. The data for the study was survey method. The instrument for data collection comprises of structured questionnaire. The questionnaire consisted of two sections. Section A dealt with bio-data such variable as age, sex, religion, educational qualification, while section B will be constructed to provide information for objectives of the research. Data were analyzed using descriptive statistics. The study disclosed that lack of sanitary operational environment in Mubi slaughter slab affect health of staff/workers, users/customers, residents and host communities living within and around the slaughter slab are at risk of outbreak of water borne diseases. The result of the study on waste management have shown that annually a total of 11,072 tons of blood, 17,280 tons of gut contents and 13,824 tons of waste tissues are discharged directly into the environment. The result also reveal that odour emissions from slaughter slab could cause eye, nose and throat irritation, nausea, cough, bronchitis, shortness of breath, stress, drowsiness and alterations of mood, residences are infested by flies and mosquitoes with varying degrees as per distance. The researchers therefore recommend that the existing slaughter slab should be upgraded with modern slaughter slab infrastructures and facilities for hygienic slaughtering, handling, storage and selling of meat to consumers to forestall infestation of meat by flies and other vectors that affect human health.

**KEYWORDS:** Management, Challenges, Slaughter slab, Mubi Metropolis, Adamawa State.

#### INTRODUCTION

An abattoir or slaughter house is a place where animals are slaughtered or killed for human consumption (Lawan et al., 2013). Adequate and proper abattoir slaughter slab operations such as ante- mortem inspection, slaughtering, bleeding, evisceration, post-mortem inspection, and waste disposal are important in the production and supply of wholesome meat for human consumption (Alhaji and Bawa, 2015; Richard et al., 2015). Lack of standard facilities coupled with nonadherence to good manufacturing practices, good hygienic practices and sanitary practices in abattoirs and slaughterhouses in developing countries, especially in Nigeria, were attributed to meat contamination and poor waste disposal with resultant effects on the environmental and human health in general (Alhaji and Bawa, 2015; Richard et al., 2015). In most abattoirs slaughter slab, operating facilities are absent; there are also lack of sewage and waste

### disposal systems, no provision of potable water, no cold storage system and toiletry facilities for staff and workers (Lawan et al., 2013; Akpabio et al., (2015). Abattoir slaughter slab operations result in the generation of numerous waste and microbial organisms that pollutes the environment and pose serious threat to human health and quality of life. The numerous wastes produced by abattoir operation not only pose a significant challenge to effective environmental management but also are associated with decreased air quality of the environment, potential transferable antimicrobial resistance patterns, and several infectious agents that can be pathogenic to human (Fearon et al., 2014). So many studies exist in the literature which have documented a variety of contaminants, microbial agents and health effects in those occupationally or accidentally exposed to improperly managed abattoir waste (Adelegan, 2012; Adeyemo, 2012). Wrongful discharge of blood and animal

#### **ARTICLE DETAILS**

#### Published On: 04 January 2023

Available on: https://ijpbms.com/

faeces into streams may cause oxygen-depletion as well as nutrient over enrichment of the river system which could cause increased rate of toxin accumulation (Nwachukwu *et al.*, 2011). Sangodoyin *et al.*, (2012) reports that the ground water quality in vicinity of the abattoirs were adversely affected by seepage of abattoir effluents as well as water quality of receiving stream that was located away from the abattoirs affect the health of the dwellers. UNEP (2016) also reports that waste that is not properly managed especially excreta and other liquid and solid waste from abattoirs and communities are of serious health hazard and lead to the spread of infectious diseases.

Waste generation at Mubi slaughter houses poses a serious threat to the environment because of poor handling practices which result into adverse impact on land, air and water. In a typical Mubi slaughter slab, the surrounding land is often marshy due to improper channeling of wastewater arising from the dressing of the slaughtered animals and washings at the lairage.

#### METHODOLOGY

**Study Area**: This study covered Mubi Metropolis which is made up of Mubi North and Mubi South Local Government Areas of Adamawa state. The metropolis is located between latitude  $10^{\circ}$  05' and  $10^{\circ}$  30' of the equator and longitude  $13^{\circ}$  12' and  $13^{\circ}$  19'E of the Greenwich meridian. Mubi is one of the urban areas in Nigeria that existed since the colonial era. Mubi metropolis is the second of the most populated area in Adamawa State, after the state capital, with a population of 260,009 from the 2006 population census, however population projection of the metropolis stand at 372,305 in 2019 (Adebayo *et al.*, 2020).

**Study population**: The target population for the study comprised of Environmental Health officers, workers at the abattoir and customers. The sample size of 100 Respondents were chosen from the three groups' base on random sampling.

**Research Design**: This study employed the multistage and random sampling techniques for the purpose of data collection. Questionnaires were used to collect data information on study.

**Research Instrument**: A self-constructed questionnaire titled "management and challenges of slaughter slab" and an interview guide was use for the study following the method of Okereke *et al.*, (2019). The questionnaire consisted of two sections. Section A dealt with bio-data such variable as age, sex, religion, educational qualification, while section B was constructed to provide information for objectives of the research topic. The researcher visited the abattoir to observe and administer the questionnaire personally.

**Method of Data Analysis**: Data were analyzed using descriptive and inferential statistics.

#### RESULTS

The analysis and presentation of data is derived from the information (data) gathered by the researcher in the course of the physical survey and questionnaires administered from the study site.

#### Socio-demographic data (Table 1)

Table 1 shows that 52% of the respondents were male and 48% were female; 44% were between the ages of 21-30, followed by 33% which were between the age of 31-40, while the least aged group were between 10-20 years (3%). Marital status of the respondents shows that 41% were married, while 7% were divorce; 40% were singles.; 12% were widow/widowers; 46% were graduate from different tertiary institutions, 32% were SSCE holders, 17% were FSLC holders and remaining 5% did not respond. Occupation, 20% were civil servant, 20% were traders, 18% were students, 17% were farmers, 11% were drivers, 8% were apprentice and remaining 6% were artisan.

#### Sanitary condition of the slaughter slab (Table 2)

Table 2 shows that, 53% strongly agreed that the environment around the slaughter slabs is characterized by highly pungent odor while 6% stongly disagreed. More so, 57% strongly agreed that slaughter slab lairage is insufficient and not hygienically maintained, while 5% strongly disagreed; and 54% strongly agreed that daily sanitary and animal inspection are not adequately carried out in the slaughter slab and 8% strongly disagreed.

#### Waste generation and management (Table 3)

From the data gathered, table 3 shows that the animals slaughtered in the slab ranges between 50 - 55 cows and 125-130 goats/sheep daily which lead to the generation of about 0.7 ton of blood, 0.5 ton of gut contents, 0.4 ton of waste tissues and 0.7 tons of bone. These translate into annual total of 11,072 tons of blood, 17,280 tons of gut contents and 13,824 tons of waste tissues discharged directly into the environment. A greater portion of the 25,488 tons of bone that would otherwise have been part of the annual waste generation was often sold together with the meat and crushed for bone meal while waste disposal method was by draining into the environment, heap within the premises and burning.

# Odor emission from the slaughter slab of the study area (Table 4)

Table 4 shows that 54% of the respondents strongly agreed that odor emission from slaughter slab could cause eye, nose, throat irritation, nausea, cough, bronchitis, shortness of breath, stress, drowsiness and alterations of mood while 9% strongly disagreed. More so, 54% strongly agreed that Slaughter slab operations could be associated with incessant infection of residents with typhoid, malaria and diarrhea and 8% strongly disagreed; 84% of the respondent go to dispensary/ hospital when affected by one of these diseases, while 10% just buy drugs from medicine store; and 37% visit hospital more than three times a year due to odor emission while 31% three times a year while 15% visit once a year.

| VARIABLES      | FREQUENCY | PERCENTAGE |  |
|----------------|-----------|------------|--|
| Sex            |           |            |  |
| Male           | 52        | 52%        |  |
| Female         | 48        | 48%        |  |
| Total          | 100       | 100%       |  |
| Age            |           |            |  |
| 10-20 years    | 3         | 3%         |  |
| 21-30 years    | 44        | 44%        |  |
| 31-40 years    | 33        | 33%        |  |
| 41-50 years    | 8         | 8%         |  |
| 51-60 years    | 12        | 12%        |  |
| Total          | 100       | 100%       |  |
| Marital status |           |            |  |
| Single         | 40        | 40%        |  |
| Married        | 41        | 41%        |  |
| Devoice        | 7         | 7%         |  |
| Widow/widower  | 12        | 12%        |  |
| Total          | 100       | 100%       |  |
| Qualification  |           |            |  |
| Primary        | 5         | 5%         |  |
| Secondary      | 32        | 32%        |  |
| Tertiary       | 46        | 46%        |  |
| Formal         | 17        | 17%        |  |
| Total          | 100       | 100%       |  |
| Occupation     |           |            |  |
| civil servant  | 20        | 20%        |  |
| farming        | 17        | 17%        |  |
| trading        | 20        | 20%        |  |
| artisan        | 6         | 6%         |  |
| apprentice 8   |           | 8%         |  |
| student 18     |           | 18%        |  |
| driving        | 11        | 11%        |  |
| Total          | 100       | 100%       |  |

| Management and Challenges o | f Slaughter Slab in Mubi | Metropolis, Adamawa State | e, Nigeria |
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|
|-----------------------------|--------------------------|---------------------------|------------|

 Table 1: Socio- Demographic characteristics of respondents

Source: Field data, 2021

#### Table 2: Sanitary conditions of the Slaughter Slab in the study area.

| VARIABLES                       | RESPONSE           | PERCENTAGES (%) |
|---------------------------------|--------------------|-----------------|
| Environment around the          | Agree              | 33              |
| slaughter slab is characterized | Strongly agree     | 53              |
| by highly pungent odor; no      | Disagree           | 8               |
| waste is treated before         | Strongly disagree. | 6               |
| discharge into the              | Total              | 100             |
| environment.                    |                    |                 |
|                                 |                    |                 |
| The slaughter slab's Lairage is | Agree              | 28              |
| insufficient and not            | Strongly agree     | 57              |
| hygienically maintained.        | Disagree           | 10              |
|                                 | Strongly disagree. | 5               |
|                                 | Total              | 100             |

| Daily sanitary and animal<br>inspections are not adequately<br>carried out in the slaughter<br>slab. | Agree<br>Strongly agree<br>Disagree<br>Strongly disagree.<br>Total | 24<br>54<br>11<br>8<br>100 |
|--|--|----------------------------|
|--|--|----------------------------|

Source: Field data, 2021

#### Table 3. Waste generation and management in the study area.

| S/No | Type of waste       | Cattle/day | Sheep and<br>Goat/day | Total/day<br>(tons) | Total/Year<br>(tons) | Method of waste disposals   |
|------|---------------------|------------|-----------------------|---------------------|----------------------|---|
| 1    | Blood               | 756        | 166.7                 | 922.7               | 11,072               | Drained into surrounding areas and collected for blood meal and animals feed. |
| 2    | Intestinal contents | 480        | 960                   | 1,440               | 17,280               | Heaped within premises, composting and washed in surrounding area.            |
| 3    | Bones               | 708        | 1,416                 | 2,124               | 25,488               | Burning/crushing for animal feed preparation.                                 |
| 4    | Waste<br>tissues    | 384        | 768                   | 1,152               | 13,824               | Burning and disposal into depression within premises.                         |

Source: Field data, 2021

#### Table 4: Challenges of odor emission from slaughter slab operations and its health effects.

| VARIABLES   | RESPONDENTS           | PERCENTAGE (%) |
|---|-----------------------|----------------|
| Odor emission from slaughter slab                                 | Agree                 | 30             |
| could cause eye, nose, throat                                     | Strongly agree        | 54             |
| irritation, nausea, and cough, and                                | Disagree              | 7              |
| bronchitis, shortness of breath,                                  | Strongly disagree.    | 9              |
| drowsiness and alteration of mood.                                | Total                 | 100            |
| Slaughter operations could be associated with incessant infection |                       |                |
| of residents with typhoid, malaria                                | Agree                 | 25             |
| and diarrhea.   | Strongly agree        | 54             |
|   | Disagree              | 13             |
|   | Strongly disagree.    | 8              |
| What kind of health assistance do                                 | Total                 | 100            |
| you look for when affected by one                                 |                       |                |
| of these diseases above?  |                       |                |
|   | Go to                 | 84             |
|   | dispensary/hospital   |                |
|   | Buy drugs in medicine | 10             |
| How many times do you or any of                                   | shop.                 |                |
| your family members of your                                       | None of the above     | 6              |
| household visit the hospital in the                               | Total.                | 100            |
| last one year?  |                       |                |

| Once a year           | 15   |
|-----------------------|------|
| Twice a year          | 17   |
| Three times a year    | 31   |
| More than three times |      |
| a year.               | 37   |
|                       |      |
| Total                 | 100% |

Source: Field data, 2021

#### DISCUSSION

Table 1 revealed that 33% of the operators were between the ages of 31-40 years. This result is in disagreement with the general (non-documented) belief that abattoir operators and meat sellers are majorly elderly people. Also 52% were males while 48% were females. This was in contrast with the report of Ajanaku et al. (2018) who reported 96.62% abattoir workers to be male but in consonance with the report of Ogunseye et al. (2021) who recorded 57% males and 43% females in Operators' perceptions of abattoir waste management: evidence from a semi-urban Nigerian city. This result shows that slaughter slab operations is dominated by both males and females, this might not be unconnected with the economic situation of Nigeria and especially Mubi where youth are scouting for jobs and the females go to the slaughter houses to buy meat for pepper soup and for restaurant. The result of this study also reveal that 46% are graduate of tertiary institution which is a little higher with the report of Ekpo (2019) who recorded 41.20%.

In terms of the sanitary conditions of the slaughter slab of the study area, it was discovered that the environment around the slaughter slab is characterized by highly pungent odor; no waste is treated before discharge into the environment and the slaughter slab's Lairage is insufficient and not hygienically maintained. It disclose that 61% strongly agreed that lack of sanitary operational environment in Mubi slaughter slab, affect health of staffs/workers, users/ customers, residents, and host communities living within and around the slaughter slab are at risk of outbreak of water borne disease, this report is in line with the findings of Oruonye (2015) who study on challenges of abattoir waste management in Jalingo metropolis, Nigeria. These findings also agreed with that of Ekpo (2019) which disclosed that lack of sanitary operational environment in Gwagwalada abattoir, health of staff/workers, users/customers, residents and host community are at risk of water borne diseases.

The study also recorded the volume of waste generated (solid and liquid) in the study area. The animals slaughtered in the slaughter slab ranges between 50 - 55 cows and 125-130 goats/sheep daily which lead to the generation of about 0.7 ton of blood, 0.5 ton of gut contents, 0.4 ton of waste tissues and 0.7 tons of bone. These translate into annual total of 11,072 tons of blood, 17,280 tons of gut contents and 13,824 tons of waste tissues discharged directly into the environment. This is in line with the report of Fearon *et al.*, (2014) who recorded 50-58 cows and 120-130 goats/sheep are slaughtered in their study area daily and recorded 0.7tons of blood, 0.5 ton of gut contents, 0.4ton of waste tissues and 0.7ton of bone. A greater portion of the 25,488 tons of bone that would otherwise have been part of the annual waste generation was often sold together with the meat and crushed for bone meal. These findings equally corroborate the findings of Ezeoha and Ugwuishiwu (2011) on status of Abattoir waste research in Nigeria. The result also reveal that blood is drained into the environment and nearby streams and internal contents are being heap in the premises while bones are burnt and some littered within the premises this is in consonance with the report of Ajanaku *et al.* (2018).

The result of this study also reveal that odour emission from the slaughter slab causes eye, nose, throat irritation, nausea, cough, bronchitis, shortness of breath, stress, drowsiness and alterations of mood which is in line with the report of Fearon *et al.*, (2014) on abattoir operations, waste generation and management.

#### CONCLUSION AND RECOMMENDATION

In conclusion the operations of slaughter slab in Mubi Metropolis are not ecologically friendly, and pose serious health and ecological challenges to the residents. The findings from this study shows that despite the inherent dangers associated with abattoir waste in the study area, the increasing volume of such waste in recent times present numerous economic opportunities for providing employment opportunity, increasing agricultural production and reduction of harmful wastes discharged into the environment. Following the result of the findings, the researchers recommend that:

- 1. The existing slaughter slab should be upgraded with modern slaughter slab infrastructures and facilities for hygienic slaughtering, handling, storage and selling of meat to consumers to forestall infestation of meat by flies and other vectors that affect human health.
- 2. Pollution of air should be controlled by ensuring that used tyres are not burnt and used as means of roasting meat or removing hides from slaughtered animals. This is harmful to both humans and the environment.
- 3. Veterinary personnel's should be proactive in the monitoring of operations of the slaughter slab by carrying out routine inspection including animal and

meat supervisions and general sanitary inspection of the slaughter slab as well as ensuring maximum compliance to the global requirements and sanitary regulations and standards governing slaughter slab operations.

#### REFERENCES

- I. Adelegan, J.A (2012). Environmental Policy and Slaughterhouse Waste in Nigeria, 228th WEDC Conference Report, Calcutta, India
- II. Adeyemo, O.K. (2012). Unhygienic operations of a city abattoir in South Western Nigeria: environmental implication. AJEAM/RAGEE 2002, 4(1): 23-27.
- III. Akpabio, U, Kalu E, Babalola SA (2015). Assessment of facilities and slaughter practices in Aba abattoir, Abia State, Nigeria. Journal of Veterinary Advances 5(6):957-961.
- IV. Ajanaku A. O., Olusola O.O., Oyelami B. A. (2018) Effect of Abattoir Activities on the Ground Waters around Bodija and Akinyele Abattoirs in Oyo State. International Journal of Environment, Agriculture and Biotechnology (IJEAB) Vol-3, Issue-3, May-June- 2018 <u>http://dx.doi.org/10.22161/ijeab/3.3.37</u>.
- V. Alhaji, N.B, Baiwa M (2015). Factors affecting workers' delivery of good hygienic and sanitary operations in slaughterhouses in north-central Nigeria. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences 13(1):29-37
- VI. Ekpo, C.G. (2019). Environmental Problems Associated with Abattoir Operations in Gwagwalada Area Council, Federal Capital Territory, Abuja. Advances in Social Sciences Research Journal, 6(3): 215-226.
- VII. Ezeohaa, S,L, and Ugwuishiwu, B. O (2011). Status of abattoir wastes research in Nigeria. *Nigerian Journal of Technology* 30(2):143-148.
- VIII. Fearon, J., Mensah, S.B. and Boateng, V. (2014). Abattoir operations, waste generation and management in the Tamale metropolis: Case study of the Tamale slaughterhouse. *Journal of Public Health and Epidemiology*. 6(1), pp. 14-19.
  - IX. Lawan, M.K, Bello M, Kwaga JKP, Raji MA (2013). Evaluation of physical facilities and processing operations of abattoirs in North-western states of Nigerian. Sokoto Journal of Veterinary Sciences 11(1):56-61.
  - X. Nwachukwu, M.I, Akinde S.B, Udujih, O.S, Nwachukwu, I.O (2011). Effect of Abattoir Wastes on the Population of Proteolytic and Lipolytic Bacteria in a Recipient Water Body (Otamiri River). *Global Res. J. Sci.* 1:40-42.
  - XI. Okereke, M.O ., Uchua, A.K and Amodu, U.S (2019). Strategies for Disposal and Management of Abattoir Waste in Nigeria, A Study of Enugu

Metropolis. International Journal of Advanced Academic Research/ Science, Technology and Engineering. 5(6):1-18

- XII. Oruonye, E. D. (2015). Challenges of abattoir waste management in Jalingo Metropolis, Nigeria. *International Journal of Research in Geography*, 1(2), 22 35.
- XIII. Ogunseye, N.O., Ademola, I.T., Dada, O.T., Badiora, A.I., Odufuwa, B.O and Alaka, C.D. (2021). Operators' perceptions of abattoir waste management: evidence from a semi-urban Nigerian city. EQA - International Journal of Environmental Quality. Vol. 43: 16-29. DOI: 10.6092/issn.2281-4485/11774.
- XIV. Richard, O.G, Okolocha EC, Odinya AV, Paul MP, Audu DF, Dzikwi AA (2015). Public health risk of abattoir operation in Zango Abattoir Zaria, Kaduna State Nigeria. Annual Research and Review in Biology 5(2):139-146.